CCB Meeting Decision Summary	Thurs., November 20, 2003 9:00 a.m. Rockledge 1 Fifth Floor (large conf. room)

Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	User Impact	Document Title
01	Patti Gaines	IRDB	Defect Fix	High/Critical	ccb_11_20_2003_item1
02	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Defect Fix	Critical	Duplicate to #04
03	Cathy Walker	GM	Defect Fix	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item3
04	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Defect Fix	**Emergency**	ccb_11_20_2003_item4
05	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item5
06	Mark Siegert	RR	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item6
07	Patti Gaines	Web QT	Defect	Critical	ccb_11_20_2003_item7
08	Tim Twomey	Commons	Defects	Critical	ccb_11_20_2003_item8
09	Mark Siegert	ATRS	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item9
10	Anna Snouffer	CM c/s and CM Web	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item10
11	Amir Venegas	Oracle Database	Environment Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item11

Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Patti Gaines	IRDB	Defect Fix	High/Critical	ccb_11_20_2003_item1
01	**Request:** There is a synchronization problem for the column phs_agency_code_dc between project_years_t and project_versions_t. This is not part of the IRDB Bridge. The problem is caused by one of these 3 database triggers: PROYR_AFTER_ROW_TRG, PROYR_BEFORE_ROW_TRG, and PROVER_BEFORE_ROW_TRG for the tables project_years_t and project_versions_t. The phs_agency_code_dc in project_years_t is correct but for some of the CURRENT data in project_versions_t the phs_agency_code_dc is wrong. This is caused by the database trigger. The solution is to fix the trigger and correct any of the existing records with incorrect value for phs_agency_code_dc. **Decision:** Approved **Action:** 1. (Tim Twomey) Coordinate timing for patch deployment.				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Defect Fix	Critical	ccb_11_20_2003_item2
02	**Request:** A defect has been discovered in the email to the grantee business office notifying them of the Just in Time status of an application. The developer has explained that instead of the email address for each grantee institution, the system is using the email address from the first application processed for the remainder of the applications processed in the batch. This request is to correct the coding bug that is sending the JIT emails for all applications processed to a single email address. **Decision:** Duplicate to ccb_11_20_2003_item4.				

03	Cathy Walker	GM	Defect Fix	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item3

Request:
A new defect on the GM Worksheet report in GM was introduced with the November 7th release that needs to be corrected as soon as possible. The GM comments, which are used to document pertinent information regarding the award action, do not display completely on the GM Worksheet. The right side of the co-fund and checklist sections of the report are overwriting the left side of the GM comments (sample pdf attached to CCB email). This was reported by at least 2 GM users within a week of the deployment so it's very noticeable and since the GM Worksheet is the only way to print this information the impact on the GM community is high. This is a report fix which will not require GM patch.

Decision: Approved

Action:
1. (Cathy Walker) Obtain estimate for Acceptance Testing.
2. (Tim Twomey) Coordinate timing for patch deployment.

04	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Defect Fix	Critical	ccb_11_20_2003_item4

Request:
A defect has been discovered in the email to the grantee business office notifying them of the Just in Time status of an application. The developer has explained that instead of the email address for each grantee institution, the system is using the email address from the first application processed for the remainder of the applications processed in the batch. This request is to correct the coding bug that is sending the JIT emails for all applications processed to a single email address.

Decision: Pre-Approved as Emergency fix

05	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item5

Request:
OPDIVs will begin using eRA/IMPAC II in December when the CDC loads FY 2003 and 2004 applications and awards. Among the systems to be used is the Peer Review module to maintain the results of the scientific review of their applications. The Peer Review module currently generates one of six different form letters to the PI to notify him/her of the results of the peer review of the application. All of the letters mention NIH as the reviewing OPDIV and some reference a two-phase review (initial review and National Advisory Council). CDC as well as most of the other OPDIVs does not conduct a two-phase review (i.e. there is no council review). References to NIH and the two-phase review would be confusing to the applicant to say the least, and in some cases refer the applicant to NIH policy that is not applicable to the other OPDIVs. Therefore, changes must be made to the logic used to generate the review notification and additional form letters added to the table for use by OPDIVs with a single phase of review.

Decision: Approved

Notes:
1. Business need to address this item by the end of December.
2. Estimates: Design & Development (80 hrs.); Integration Test (20 hrs.); Acceptance Test (20 hrs.)
3. Mark Siegert has volunteered to help test.

06	Mark Siegert	Receipt & Referral	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item6

	Request:

	OPDIVs will begin using eRA/IMPAC II in December when the CDC loads FY 2003 and 2004 applications and awards. Among the systems to be used is the Receipt and Referral module to enter received applications into IMPAC II. Whether the applications are entered by CSR or by the CDC staff, the mailer sent to the applicant is currently NIH specific and would result in confusion to anyone who submitted an application to CDC or any of the other OPDIVs. Most of the CDC Centers/Institutes/Offices (CIO) do not use a two-phase review (i.e. no Council review). Additionally, approximately 95% of CDC's applications are in response to an announcement or RFA, and the review schedule is different than that of NIH, which is noted in the current mailer. Currently there is a mailer in the RR system designed expressly for SAMHSA that can be modified to fit CDC and other OPDIVs whose research grants are solicited through an RFA or PA. An additional letter will be necessary for the OPDIV's unsolicited grants.
	
	Decision: Approved
	
	Notes:
	  1. Business need to address this item by the end of December.
	  2. Estimates: Design & Development (reassess); Integration Test (8 hrs.); Acceptance Test (8 hrs.)
	
	Action:
	  1. (Mike Wilson) Reassess Design & Development estimate.

	Patti Gaines	Web QT	Defect		Critical	ccb_11_20_2003_item7
07	**Request:** Web QT is experiencing an issue in Production with EJB on hitlist_definitions_t table and DAOs on child tables (hitlist_queries_t). At the present time, the hitlist_definitions_t table is locked and Web QT has lost all functionality related to Managing Queries (Save/Delete Queries, Set/Clear Default Query). We believe that the locking issue is related to the performance issue with the Persons_T table. The slowness of Persons_T is causing Web QT to timeout and is causing table locking due to incorrect settings in the configuration files. **Decision:** Approved					
08	Tim Twomey	Commons	Defect		Critical	ccb_11_20_2003_item8

Request:
A number of critical bugs have been identified in the Commons. Some of these were found in acceptance testing but were deferred and others were found after deployment. Many of these items are already fixed in Dev.

The current highest priorities include:
Password generator not conforming to new policy.
Not being able to removed "default dates" from status quires.
Help screens missing from new Status Screens.
Extension confirmation screen shows incorrect Grant information
IACUC date not displaying on the JIT Report in Other Relevant Documents

A full list will be brought to CCB meeting after review on Wednesday.

Requesting up to 40 hours of development time to fix these and other issues. Deployment will be coordinated with testers after review of requirements.

Decision: Approved

Action:
1. (Tim Twomey) Update and distribute complete list of ClearQuest items to be included in maintenance release.
2. (Tim Twomey) Coordinate timing of maintenance release.

Note:
1. iEdison is higher priority for acceptance testing resources.

	Mark Siegert	ATRS	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item9
09	**Request:** CDC will begin award processing in GM starting in January of 2004. Currently there are no transactions sent to the CDC accounting system for the NIOSH grants that are using IMPAC II. CDC is requesting that the NIOSH grants, as well as their other ICs that will begin using the system, create a transaction file similar to the file created for AHRQ grants. The name and location of the flat file for CDC is still being determined, but the file layout is 95% complete and included with this request as a separate attachment. **Decision:** Approved **Action:** 1. (Mike Wilson) Reassess Design & Development estimate. **Notes:** 1. Business need to address this item by the end of December.				
10	Anna Snouffer	CM c/s and CM Web	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item10

Request:
The following justification is from an e-mail from Nancy Hafele, CSR. "It's really imperative that the "Mail Reviewer" label print on the vouchers. For a number of reasons, we can't rely on each individual GTA to manually identify the mail reviewers because the GTA who creates the roster (and therefore knows who the mail reviewers are) isn't always the same person who collects the vouchers; if a voucher has to be reprinted and sent to a reviewer it's easy to forget to mark the voucher and if the voucher is emailed to the mail reviewer, there's no way to mark the voucher at all. Also, with over 150 GTAs, if each person forgets just once, we have 150 problems.

I can appreciate your concern about personnel changes because all the GTAs here were affected by A76 and will be transitioning to MEO, which is another reason that it's best to have the system do the work rather than rely on a workforce that is in the midst of job restructuring.

CSR knew in May when the mandate about changing the reimbursement for mail reviewers came out that it would present a problem for CSR because of the volume of vouchers we receive. That was why we wasted no time in requesting this change--we asked for this label on May 22nd."

1. If the persons attendee role is Mail Reviewer, then restrict the client-server voucher form to a consultant fee of no more than $100 per day. This would require adding a record to the impacii_system_parameters table and a new field to the meeting_agendas_t table. This requires changing CM1054, CM1050 and possibly a trigger. To test, this would require adding meetings, adding attendees to the roster as well as running the "OK/Update Vouchers" option.
2. If the persons attendee role is Mail Reviewer, then print the words "Mail Reviewer" in the same place the voucher now prints "Teleconference". This would require printing the report from both CM c/s and CM Web.
3. If the persons attendee role is Mail Reviewer, change the text on the report so that states the reviewer is entitled to "$200/per day" to "$100/per day". This would require printing the report from both CM c/s and CM Web.

Decision: Approved

Notes:
   1. Backend change to report only.

Action:
   1. (Scarlett Gibb) Update estimates for Acceptance Test and Operations.

	Amir Venegas	Oracle Database	Environment Change	High	ccb_11_20_2003_item11

11

Request:
Address possible security vulnerability

Decision: Approved